Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Doc 89

Filed 05/06/14

Entered 05/06/14 17:33:27

Desc

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

150 West 1/" Street, Unit A, Costa Mesa, Camornia (the Lease ), with said rejection being
effective (the "Rejection Effective Date") as of the earlier of: (a) the date that the Trustee turns over
the keys to the Landlord, or (b) May 20, 2014; and (2) the abandonment of any personal property
(the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of
the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective
Date.
The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for

the Lease in the administration of the estate. Based on his review, the Trustee does not believe that the Lease has any value to the estate and, in fact, believes that the Lease is burdensome to the estate. In order to minimize any further administrative rent obligations of the Debtor's chapter 11 estate and to maximize the estate for the creditors, the Trustee has determined that rejection of the Lease is in the best interest of the estate.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Trustee, by no later than the Rejection Effective Date, will have removed all personal property of any value to the estate from the Leased Premises. The personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal will be de minimis. To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter 11 estate. The cost of removing, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would significantly outweigh the value of that property therefore, the Remaining Personal Property is burdensome to the estate and should be abandoned effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Motion is based on and supported by this Notice, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the accompanying Declaration of R. Todd Neilson, and the arguments of counsel, and other admissible evidence properly brought before the Court at or before any hearing on this Motion. The Trustee further requests that the Court take judicial notice of all other pleadings filed in the above-captioned chapter 11 Case.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(o) any responses or objections to approval of the Motion by the Court must be filed with the Court and served on counsel to the Trustee within 14 days of service of this Notice. If no objections or other responses are timely received, the Trustee will submit a proposed order under certification of

1	counsel requesting approval of the Motion without the need of a hearing. In the event there is an			
2	objection or other response contesting the entry of an order approving the Motion, the Trustee will			
3	schedule a hearing subject to the Court's availability and file a separate notice of such hearing date			
4	and time.			
5	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Trustee will serve this Notice and Motion			
6	on: (a) the Debtor and its counsel, (b) the Office of the United States Trustee, (c) the Landlord and			
7	its counsel, and (d) the parties that file with the Court requests for notice of all matters in accordance			
8	with Bankruptcy Rule 2002.			
9	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the failure to timely respond in the manner set			
10	forth above by the dates indicated above may result in the Court approving the Motion or refusing to			
11	consider any late-filed response or opposition.			
12	WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order (i) granting			
13	the Motion, (ii) authorizing and approving the rejection of the Lease effective as of the Rejection			
14	Effective Date, (iii) authorizing and approving the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property			
15	effective as of the Rejection Effective Date and (iv) granting such other and further relief as it deem			
16	necessary and appropriate.			
17	Dated: May 6, 2014 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP			
18	By: /s/ Linda F. Cantor			
19	Linda F. Cantor (SBN 153872)			
20	Proposed Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee			
21	11 Trustee			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
20				

Doc 89

Main Document

Filed 05/06/14

Page 3 of 15

Entered 05/06/14 17:33:27

dase 8:14-bk-11492-ES

4 5

7

6

8

10 11

12

13 14

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25 26

2728

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

### INTRODUCTION

In order to avoid incurring unnecessary administrative expenses for the Debtor's chapter 11 estate (the "Estate"), the Trustee filed the attached Notice of Motion and Motion of the Chapter 11 Trustee for Order Authorizing (i) Rejection of Non-Residential Real Property Lease Located in Costa Mesa California, and (ii) Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 and 554 (the "Motion") seeking an order of the Court authorizing and approving the rejection of that certain non-residential real property lease located in Costa Mesa, as described below, and the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Trustee has no need for the Leased Premises in the administration of the estate. Based upon the Trustee's review, the Lease has no value to the estate and the Trustee, therefore, has determined that the rejection of the Lease and the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interest of the estate.

II.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter relates to the administration of the estate and is accordingly a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A) and (O). Venue of this case is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are sections 365(a) and 554(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").

III.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

### A. The Background of the Debtor's Business

The Debtor is a California corporation. Hannes Tulving, Jr. is the President and sole equity holder of the Debtor. The Debtor was in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Over the past year, customer complaints against the Debtor concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

made to the Better Business Bureau as well as various law enforcement agencies. In early March, 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr. in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014. Shortly before the initiation of these proceedings, a raid was conducted at the business offices of the Debtor by the Secret Service and the Department of Justice and the Debtor's computers, documents and inventory were seized for an ongoing criminal investigation.

#### B. Procedural Background of Case

The Debtor commenced this case by the filing of a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 10, 2014. In light of the pending criminal investigation and other ongoing litigation, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee [Docket No. 15] ("Stipulation"), which was signed by both the Debtor and its attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 [Docket No. 16] and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014 approving the U.S. Trustee's Application for the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee, appointing R. Todd Neilson as Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22].

#### C. The Lease

The Debtor is a party to, a non-residential real property lease, dated January 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and Levon Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for the premises (the "Leased Premises") located at 150 West 17th Street, Unit A, Costa Mesa, California (the "Lease"). The lease is for a ten-year term, commencing January 1, 2011 and terminating February 28, 2021. The base monthly rental for the Lease is \$30,000 plus an added \$1,000 per month for other expenses. The Debtor paid a security deposit to the Landlord in the amount of \$30,000 for the Lease. The Trustee is informed and believes that the Landlord applied the security deposit towards payment of unpaid rent in 2013.

#### D. The Rejection of the Lease

The Trustee has determined in his business judgment that continued occupancy of the Leased Premises is not in the best interests of the estate. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Leased Premises are not necessary for the Trustee's administration of the estate.

9

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

27

The Trustee has determined that the rejection of the Lease is in the best interests of the estate so that it can avoid the incurrence of additional unnecessary administrative rent, which is no less than \$31,000 per month. The Trustee is, therefore, seeking approval of the rejection of the Lease, which might otherwise become an unnecessary drain on the assets of this estate, with said rejection being effective (the "Rejection Effective Date") as of the earlier of: (a) date that the Trustee turns over the keys to the Landlord, or (b) May 20, 2014.

The Trustee does not believe that the Lease holds any value, especially in light of the "carrying costs" the estate would incur during any marketing period and the possibility that no viable assignee would materialize. The base monthly cost of the Lease to the estate is approximately \$31,000, which amounts could be treated as an administrative expenses if the Lease is not rejected in a timely manner.

#### E. The Abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property

By the Motion, the Trustee seeks to abandon any personal property (the "Remaining Personal Property") belonging to the Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date. On May 2, 2014, the Court entered an order (the "Sale Order") granting the Expedited Motion of Chapter 11 Trustee for Order: (1) Approving the Employment of Van Horn Auctions Appraisal Group, LLC as Auctioneer for the Estate Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 327 and 328(A); (2) Authorizing the Sale of Estate Property at Public Auction Outside the Ordinary Course of Business Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 363(B); (3) Authorizing Payment of Costs and Allowing Compensation; and (4) Waiving 14-Day Stav Period (the "Sale Motion") [Docket No. 83]. The Sale Order authorized the Trustee, among other things, to auction the personal property located at the Premises. The Trustee, by no later than the Rejection Effective Date, will have removed all personal property of any value to the estate from the Leased Premises. The personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal will be de minimis. To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter 11 estate. The cost of removing, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would significantly outweigh the value of that property, therefore, the Remaining

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 Personal Property is burdensome to the estate and should be abandoned effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

IV.

### ARGUMENT

#### Immediate Rejection of the Lease Is Justified A.

Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a trustee, "subject to the court's approval, may assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a). This provision allows a trustee "to relieve the bankruptcy estate of burdensome agreements which have not been completely performed." Stewart Title Guar. Co. v. Old Republic Nat'l Title Co., 83 F.3d 735, 741 (5th Cir. 1996) (citing In re Muerexco Petroleum, Inc., 15 F.3d 60, 62 (5th Cir. 1994)).

The standard applied to determine whether the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease should be authorized is the "business judgment" standard. As the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel held in *In re Chi-Feng Huang*, 23 B.R. 798, 800 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982), a trustee may exercise his business judgment to reject executory contracts or unexpired leases that are no longer useful or necessary to the bankruptcy estate. The standard for rejection is satisfied when a trustee has made a business determination that rejection will benefit the estate. See Commercial Fin. Ltd. v. Haw. Dimensions, Inc. (In re Haw. Dimensions, Inc.), 47 B.R. 425, 427 (Bankr. D. Haw. 1985) ("[U]nder the business judgment test, a court should approve a debtor's proposed rejection if such rejection will benefit the estate."). In applying the business judgment standard, courts show great deference to the trustee's decision to reject. See, e.g., Summit Land Co. v. Allen (In re Summit Land Co.), 13 B.R. 310, 315 (Bankr. D. Utah 1981) (noting that, absent extraordinary circumstances, court approval of a debtor's decision to assume or reject an executory contract "should be granted as a matter of course").

Based on the Trustee's knowledge of the Lease and his knowledge of the rental market in the area where the Leased Premises are located, the Trustee does not believe that the estate can obtain any material value by seeking to assume and assign the Lease. The estate would be risking the full weight of further possible administrative rent if no assignee materializes for the Lease with no

corresponding benefit to the estate. Prompt rejection will minimize unnecessary administrative costs

for the benefit of all creditors of the estate. In the exercise of his business judgment, the Trustee has

7

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

21

24

23

25 26

27

28

#### В. Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Is Appropriate

therefore concluded that rejection of the Lease is in the best interests of the estate.

Section 554(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that, "[a]fter notice and a hearing, the trustee may abandon any property of the estate that is burdensome to the estate or that is of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 554(a). In evaluating decisions to abandon property of the estate, courts focus on whether such decision reflects a business judgment made in good faith. See, e.g., In re Cult Awareness Network, Inc., 205 B.R. 575, 579 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1997) (citations omitted); In re Wilson, 94 B.R. 886, 888-889 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1989); In re Moore, 110 B.R. 924, 928 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1990) ("The choice of which type of action [is appropriate to liquidate the assets of the estate] (whether it be acceptance of the offer, a counteroffer, negotiation, open bidding, or bringing a formal motion for abandonment) belongs to the trustee within the sound exercise of the trustee's business judgment so long as the trustee fulfills his statutory duties.").

The Trustee believes that the value of the Remaining Personal Property is *de minimis*. Abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is appropriate because the cost of moving, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would out strip the value of that property. Further, its abandonment is necessary to eliminate any issues regarding administrative expenses associated with leaving the property at the Premises.

After duly considering such factors, the Trustee has determined, in the exercise of his sound business judgment, that abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interests of the estate and requests that the Court approve abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELS, CALIFORNIA

V.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an order (a) granting the Motion, (b) authorizing and approving the rejection of the Lease effective as of the Rejection Effective Date, (c) authorizing and approving the abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date, and (d) granting such other and further relief as it deems necessary and appropriate.

Dated: May 6, 2014

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

By: /s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor (SBN 153762)

Proposed Attorneys for R. Todd Neilson, chapter 11 Trustee

# 3 4

# 5 6

## 7

# 8 9

# 10

# 11

# 12 13

# 14

# 15 16

# 17

## 18

19 20

# 21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

### **DECLARATION OF R. TODD NEILSON**

I, R. Todd Neilson, declare as follows:

- I am the duly appointed chapter 11 trustee (the "Trustee") in the above-captioned 1. bankruptcy case (the "Case"). I make this Declaration on facts within my personal knowledge (albeit my own or that gathered by professionals rendering services to me), or as a result of having reviewed the court file in this Case. If called upon, I can and will competently testify to the facts stated herein.
- I make this Declaration in support of the Notice of Motion and Motion of the Chapter 2. 11 Trustee for Order Authorizing (i) Rejection of Real Property Leases Located in Costa Mesa, California, and (ii) Abandonment of Remaining Personal Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 and 554 (the "Motion"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.
- The Debtor had been in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, 3. bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Over the past year, customer complaints against the Debtor concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly made to the Better Business Bureau as well as various law enforcement agencies. In early March, 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr. in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014. Shortly before the initiation of these proceedings, a raid was conducted at the business offices of the Debtor by the Secret Service and the Department of Justice and the Debtor's computers, documents and inventory were seized for an ongoing criminal investigation..
- On March 10, 2014, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 4. of the Bankruptcy Code. In light of the pending criminal investigation and other ongoing litigation, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee which was signed by both the Debtor and its attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014, approving my appointment as Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22].

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- I am informed and believe that the Debtor is a party to, among others, that certain 5. non-residential real property lease, dated January 1, 2011, between the Debtor, as tenant, and Levon Gugasian, as landlord (the "Landlord"), for the premises (the "Leased Premises") located at 150 West 17th Street, Unit A. Costa Mesa, California (the "Lease"). I am informed and believe that the lease is for a ten-year term, commencing January 1, 2011 and terminating February 28, 2021, and that the base monthly rental for the Lease is \$30,000 plus an added \$1,000 per month for other expenses. I am informed and believe that the Debtor paid a security deposit to the Landlord in the amount of \$30,000 for the Lease. I am informed and believe that the Landlord applied the security deposit towards payment of unpaid rent in 2013.
- 6. I have determined in my business judgment that continued occupancy of the Leased Premises is not in the best interests of the estate. The Debtor no longer occupies or uses the Leased Premises and the Leased Premises are not necessary for the administration of the estate. I have determined that the rejection of the Lease is in the best interests of the estate, in order to avoid the incurrence of additional unnecessary administrative rent of approximately \$31,000 per month. Therefore, I request approval of the rejection of the Lease, which might otherwise become an unnecessary drain on the assets of this estate, with said rejection being effective as of the earlier of: (a) date that I turn over the keys to the Landlord, or (b) May 20, 2014.
- I do not believe that the Lease holds any value, especially in light of the "carrying 7. costs" the estate would incur during any marketing period and the possibility that no viable assignee would materialize. The base monthly cost of the Lease to the estate is approximately \$31,000, which amount could be treated as an administrative expense if the Lease is not rejected in a timely manner.
- I further request to abandon any Remaining Personal Property belonging to the 8. Debtor remaining at the Leased Premises as of the Rejection Effective Date, with the abandonment being effective as of the Rejection Effective Date. On May 2, 2014, the Court entered an order (the "Sale Order") granting the Expedited Motion of Chapter 11 Trustee for Order: (1) Approving the Employment of Van Horn Auctions Appraisal Group, LLC as Auctioneer for the Estate Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 327 and 328(A); (2) Authorizing the Sale of Estate Property at Public Auction

28

Outside the Ordinary Course of Business Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 363(B); (3) Authorizing Payment of Costs and Allowing Compensation; and (4) Waiving 14-Day Stay Period (the "Sale Motion") [Docket No.83]. The Sale Order authorized the Trustee, among other things, to auction the personal property located at the Premises. By no later than the Rejection Effective Date, all personal property of any value to the estate will have been removed from the Leased Premises. The personal property that remains at the Leased Premises after such removal primarily will be de minimis. To the extent there may be any other Remaining Personal Property, it will be of no value to the chapter 11 estate. I believe that the value of the Remaining Personal Property is de minimis. Abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is appropriate because the cost of moving, storing and marketing the Remaining Personal Property would out strip the value of that property. Further, its abandonment is necessary to eliminate any issues regarding administrative expenses associated with leaving the property at the Premises.

After duly considering such factors, I have determined, in the exercise of my sound business judgment that abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property is in the best interests of the estate and request that the Court approve abandonment of the Remaining Personal Property effective as of the Rejection Effective Date.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this day of May, 2014, at Los Angeles California.

4

5 6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELS, CALIFORNIA

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION OF THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING (1) REJECTION OF NON-RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY LEASE LOCATED IN COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA, AND (2) ABANDONMENT OF REMAINING PERSONAL PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 365 AND 554; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF R. TODD NEILSON IN SUPPORT THEREOF will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On May 6, 2014, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

> X Service information continued on attached page

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On May 6, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

> $\times$ Service information continued on attached page

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE

TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on May 6, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Via Federal Express

The Honorable Erithe A. Smith United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Ronald Reagan Federal Building and Courthouse 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5040 / Courtroom 5A Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

> Janice G. Washington Printed Name

/s/ Janice G. Washington

Signature

May 6, 2014 Date

1	1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):				
2	8:14-bk-11492-ES Notice will be electronically mailed to:				
3	Andrew S Bisom on behalf of Debtor The Tulving Company Inc abisom@bisomlaw.com				
5	Candice Bryner on behalf of Interested Party Candice Bryner candice@brynerlaw.com				
6	Stephen L Burton on behalf of Attorney Stephen L. Burton steveburtonlaw@aol.com				
7 8	Linda F Cantor, ESQ on behalf of Trustee R. Todd Neilson (TR) lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com				
9	Nancy S Goldenberg on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) nancy.goldenberg@usdoj.gov				
10	Lawrence J Hilton on behalf of Creditor Jeffrey Roth lhilton@oneil-llp.com, ssimmons@oneil-llp.com;kdonahue@oneil-llp.com				
12	Matthew B Learned on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF bknotice@mccarthyholthus.com				
13 14	Elizabeth A Lossing on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) elizabeth.lossing@usdoj.gov				
15 16	R. Todd Neilson (TR) tneilson@brg-expert.com; tneilson@brg-expert.com; ntroszak@brg-expert.com				
17	Gary A Pemberton on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF gpemberton@shbllp.com, tlenz@shbllp.com				
18 19	Robert J Pfister on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF rpfister@ktbslaw.com				
20	Michael B Reynolds on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF mreynolds@swlaw.com, kcollins@swlaw.com				
21	United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov				
22   23	2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:				
24	Debtor				
25	The Tulving Company Inc P.O. Box 6200 Newport Beach, CA 92658				
26	Counsel for Debtor				
27 28	Andrew S Bisom The Bisom Law Group 8001 Irvine Center Drive, Ste. 1170 Irvine, CA 92618				

¢	ase 8:14-bk-11492-ES	Doc 89 Filed 05/06/14 Entered 05/06/14 17:33:27 Main Document Page 15 of 15	Desc
1			
2	Trustee R. Todd Neilson (TR)		
3	BRG, LLP 2049 Century Park East		
4	Suite 2525 Los Angeles, CA 90067		
5	<i>U.S. Trustee</i> United States Trustee (S	SA)	
6	411 W Fourth St., Suite 9 Santa Ana, CA 92701-45	9041	
7	Counsel for U.S. Trustee		
8	Nancy S Goldenberg 411 W Fourth St Ste 904		
9	Santa Ana, CA 92701-80		
10	Laurence P Nokes on be Nokes & Quinn	half of Interested Party John Frankel	
11	410 Broadway St Ste 200 Laguna Beach, CA 9265		
12	Accountants for Landlo		
13	Brent Murdoch Murdoch & Morris, LLP		
14	114 Pacifica, Ste. 320 Irvine, CA 92618		
15	Interested Party		
16	Frye & Hsieh Douglas J Frye Esquire		
17	24955 Pacific Coast High Malibu, CA 90265	hway # A201	
18	Counsel for Creditor Le	von Gugasian	
19	Nanette D. Sanders, Esq. Ringstad & Sanders LLP	_	
20	2030 Main Street Suite 1600		
21	Irvine, CA 92614		
22			
23			
24			
25			

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

26

27

28