1		Honorable Christopher M. Alston Chapter 11	
2		Hearing Date: May 26, 2016 Hearing Time: 1:30PM	
3		Response Date: May 24, 2016 at 5:00PM	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8		ANKRUPTCY COURT VASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF V	VIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	
10	In re	Case No. 16-11767-CMA	
11	NORTHWEST TERRITORIAL MINT, LLC, EIN: 30-0143641	STATEMENT OF THE OFFICIAL	
12	Debtor.	UNSECURED CREDITORS' COMMITTEE REGARDING	
13	D \$0.001.	PROPOSED SALE OF TEXAS ASSETS	
14			
15	The Official Unsecured Creditors' Com-	mittee, by its undersigned counsel, submits this	
16	Statement in response to the Chapter 11 Trustee's Motion for Order Approving the Sale of the		
17	Debtor's Tomball Assets Free and Clear of All Liens, etc. (the "Sale Motion"; Dkt. #200): Introduction. The Committee submits this Statement to advise the Court and other		
18			
19	parties in interest regarding the Committee's reaction and response to the Sale Motion and to		
20	report the Committee's receipt of certain comm	unications that it has today received from the	
21	Debtor's principal, Mr. Ross Hansen.		
22	Committee Consideration of the Sale	Motion. On May 3, 2016, the Trustee conducted	
23	a formal presentation to the Committee. The pr	resentation addressed the current economic status	
24	of the bankruptcy case and its assets, as well as	describing certain actions the Trustee intended to	
25	take—or was considering taking—in his role as	s administrator of the bankruptcy estate. As part	
26			

STATEMENT OF THE OFFICIAL UNSECURED CREDITORS'

1	of his presentation, the Trustee specifically addressed the potential sale of the Debtor's Tomball,
2	Texas operation. In support of his stated decision to sell the Tomball operation, the Trustee
3	described the current state of Tomball customer orders and work orders, as well as the
4	unfavorable economic effect on the bankruptcy estate of continuing Tomball's operation. The
5	Trustee supported a proposed sale of the Tomball operation with written materials provided to
6	the Committee. These materials included projected estate cash flows, labor cost analysis, and a
7	current assessment of the Tomball operation prepared by Tomball's General Manager. The
8	Trustee's materials also included a signed Letter of Intent to purchase the Tomball operation,
9	along with the identities of two other prospective purchasers. Of these two additional parties,
10	one subsequently advised Committee counsel that it had withdrawn its interest; the other has now
11	filed an overbid for consideration at the May 26 hearing.
12	Based on the Trustee's May 3 rd presentation, the Committee, after further consideration,
13	determined that it would not oppose the Trustee's proposed sale of the Tomball operation.
14	Accordingly, the Committee did not file a response to the Sale Motion by the original May 23
15	response date.
16	The Hansen Proposal. In addition to being the principal of the Debtor, Ross Hansen is
17	the managing member of Medallic Art Company, LLC. On the evening of May 23, Medallic's
18	counsel, Jerry Stehlik, called Committee counsel and requested that counsel participate in a
19	meeting with Hansen. In response to this request, Committee counsel met with Hansen and Mr.
20	Stehlik on May 24. At the meeting, Hansen expressed his opinion that: 1) the Tomball operation
21	is important to the profitability of the entire business enterprise consisting of the Debtor (NW
22	Territorial Mint), the affiliated Medallic operation in Nevada, and Tomball itself; 2) selling
23	Tomball as the Trustee has proposed is a mistake; 3) the Tomball sale should be stopped so that
24	its assets can be moved to, and consolidated with, the Medallic facility in Nevada; 4) Hansen, in
25	his capacity as an owner of Medallic, should be allowed to lease the Tomball assets and use them
26	as part of an ongoing operation in Nevada; and 5) by obtaining the ability to use the Tomball
24	its assets can be moved to, and consolidated with, the Medallic facility in Nevada; 4) Hansen, in
26	as nort of an angoing appretion in Navada, and 5) by obtaining the chility to use the Tombell

1	assets as part of Medallic, Hansen would be able to enhance the creditors' recovery much more	
2	than if the Tomball operation were simply sold now. As a follow-up to the May 24 meeting,	
3	Committee counsel received, in the afternoon of May 25, a more detailed written description of	
4	Hansen's proposal, which, in pertinent part, is submitted herewith as Exhibit A to the	
5	Declaration of Mark D. Northrup.	
6	As the Committee understands it, the Hansen proposal requires that the Tomball	
7	operation not be sold but instead be moved to Medallic's Dayton, Nevada location, to continue in	
8	existence as part of an ongoing business enterprise.	
9	In essence, the Hansen proposal amounts to a term sheet or a "concept sheet" for a plan	
10	of reorganization. The Committee has had no opportunity to review, digest or otherwise evaluate	
11	the Hansen proposal; nor has the Trustee. The Committee submits the Hansen proposal for	
12	informational purposes only.	
13	DATED this 25 th day of May, 2016.	
14	MILLER NASH GRAHAM & DUNN LLP	
15		
16	/s/ Mark D. Northrup	
17	Mark D. Northrup, WSB No. 16947 mark.northrup@millernash.com	
18	Geoffrey Groshong, WSB No. 6124 geoff.groshong@millernash.com	
19	John R. Knapp, Jr., P.C., WSB No. 29343 john.knapp@millernash.com	
20	Attorneys for the Official Unsecured	
21	Creditors' Committee	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		